### 20-16375

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

KRISTIN M. PERRY, et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,

Intervenor-Plaintiff-Appellee,

KQED, INC.,

Intervenor-Appellee,

 $\mathbf{v}_{\bullet}$ 

GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH; et al.,

Intervenor-Defendants-Appellants,

and

PATRICK O'CONNELL; et al.,

Defendants.

# On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California

No. 3:09-cv-02292-WHO The Honorable William H. Orrick, Judge

## STATEMENT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL

Attorney General of California
THOMAS S. PATTERSON
Senior Assistant Attorney General
BENJAMIN M. GLICKMAN
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
SETH E. GOLDSTEIN
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 238228
1300 I Street, Suite 125
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
Telephone: (916) 210-6063

Fax: (916) 324-8835

Email: Seth.Goldstein@doj.ca.gov *Attorneys for Defendants-Appellees* 

State Defendants-Appellees oppose the motion for stay pending appeal. Dkt. # 2-1. In order to obtain a stay, appellants must (1) make a strong showing that they are likely to succeed on the merits, and (2) demonstrate that they will be irreparably injured absent a stay. *Al Otro Lado v. Wolf*, 952 F.3d 999, 1006-7 (9th Cir. 2020). Appellants have done neither.

### As the district court found:

[T]he Proponents again failed to submit any evidence by declaration that any Proponent or witness who testified on behalf of the Proponents wants the trial recordings to remain under seal. There is no evidence that any Proponent or trial witness fears retaliation or harassment if the recordings are released. Nor is there any evidence that any Proponent or trial witness on behalf of the Proponents believed at the time or believes now that Judge Walker's commitment to personal use of the recordings meant that the trial recordings would remain under seal forever.

Dkt. # 2-1, App. 3. The district court was correct, nothing has changed on appeal, and Appellants' motion therefore must be denied.

It has now been more than ten years since the historic trial in this action. Given the strong presumption in favor of access to court records, and the public interest in transparency, this Court should deny the motion and allow the release of the video recordings on August 12 pursuant to the district court's order. *See Wolf*, 952 F.3d at 1007 (in determining whether to stay an order pending appeal, court considers "where the public interest lies"); *Courthouse News Serv. v. Planet*, 947 F.3d 581, 589 (9th Cir. 2020) ("Openness in judicial proceedings enhances both

Case: 20-16375, 07/27/2020, ID: 11767314, DktEntry: 7, Page 4 of 7

the basic fairness of the proceeding and the appearance of fairness so essential to public confidence in the system . . . and forms an indispensable predicate to free expression about the workings of government.") (citations and internal quotations omitted).

Dated: July 27, 2020 Respectfully Submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
THOMAS S. PATTERSON
Senior Assistant Attorney General
BENJAMIN M. GLICKMAN
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

/S/ SETH GOLDSTEIN

SETH E. GOLDSTEIN
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Defendants-Appellees

### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

Form 8. Certificate of Compliance for Briefs Instructions for this form: http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/forms/form08instructions.pdf 9th Cir. Case Number(s) 20-16375 I am the attorney or self-represented party. This brief contains words, excluding the items exempted 316 by Fed. R. App. P. 32(f). The brief's type size and typeface comply with Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(5) and (6). I certify that this brief (select only one): • complies with the word limit of Cir. R. 32-1. is a cross-appeal brief and complies with the word limit of Cir. R. 28.1-1. is an amicus brief and complies with the word limit of Fed. R. App. P. 29(a)(5), Cir. R. 29-2(c)(2), or Cir. R. 29-2(c)(3). is for a death penalty case and complies with the word limit of Cir. R. 32-4. complies with the longer length limit permitted by Cir. R. 32-2(b) because (select only one): it is a joint brief submitted by separately represented parties; • a party or parties are filing a single brief in response to multiple briefs; or C a party or parties are filing a single brief in response to a longer joint brief. C complies with the length limit designated by court order dated is accompanied by a motion to file a longer brief pursuant to Cir. R. 32-2(a). Date July 27, 2020 Signature /s/ Seth E. Goldstein

Form 8 Rev. 12/01/2018

Feedback or questions about this form? Email us at forms@ca9.uscourts.gov

(use "s/[typed name]" to sign electronically-filed documents)

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name: Kristin M. Perry, et al. v. No. 20-16375

Arnold Schwarzenegger, et al.

[Appeal]

I hereby certify that on <u>July 27, 2020</u>, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

#### STATEMENT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL

I certify that **all** participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system on the following:

Theodore B. Olson

Matthew D. McGill

Amir C. Tayrani

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, LLP

1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW

Jeremy M. Goldman

Dennis J. Herrera

Ronald P. Flynn

Yvonne R. Mere

Christine Van Aken

Washington, D.C. 20036

Ronald P. Flynn
tolson@gibsondunn.com

Danny Yeh Chou

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Kristin M. Perry, City and County of San Francisco Sandra B. Stier, Paul T. Katami, and Jeffrey Office of the City Attorney

J. Zarrillo One Dr. Carlton b. Goodlett Place, Room 234

San Francisco, CA 94102-4682 Jeremy.goldman@sfcityatty.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor City and

County of San Francisco

John T. Seyman Patrick J. Salseda

Office of the County Counsel

County of Alameda

Los Angeles County Counsel

648 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Admin

1221 Oak Street, Suite 450 500 W. Temple St.

Oakland CA 94612 Los Angeles CA 90012

Oakland, CA 94612 Los Angeles, CA 90012 John.seyman@acgov.org psalseda@counsel.lacounty.gov

Attorney for Defendant Patrick O'Connell Attorney for Defendant Dean C. Logan

Thomas R. Burke

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
David H. Thompson
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
Peter A. Patterson

San Francisco, CA 94111 John D. Ohlendorf <u>thomasburke@dwt.com</u> Cooper and Kirk, PLLC

Attorney for Intervenor KQED, Inc. 1523 New Hampshire Ave., NW

Washington, D.C. 20036 ccooper@cooperkirk.com

Charles J. Cooper

Attorneys for Intervenors-Defendants-

Appellants

Case: 20-16375, 07/27/2020, ID: 11767314, DktEntry: 7, Page 7 of 7

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is to	rue
and correct and that this declaration was executed on July 27, 2020, at Sacramento, California	ia.

Tracie Campbell

Declarant

/s/ Tracie Campbell

Signature

SA2020302314 34263592.docx